

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Sex 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,907	10/01/2001	Hitoshi Arita	214635US0	5663
7:	590 07/29/2003			
	AK MCCLELLANI	EXAMINER		
FOURTH FLO		FAISON, VERONICA F		
ARLINGTON.	ON DAVIS HIGHWA			
, AREMOTON,	VII 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	4
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				#5-1		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,907	ARITA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Veronica F. Faison	1755			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the properties of the properties of the properties of the period for reply specified above is less than if NO period for reply is specified above, the max Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three rearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(his communication. t thirty (30) days, a reply w immum statutory period will for reply will, by statute, ca months after the mailing da	(a). In no event, however, n ithin the statutory minimum apply and will expire SIX (6 ause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>ı.</i> mmunication.		
1) Responsive to communicatio	n(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•		·			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-220</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawr	from consideration				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected	l .					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-220 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	20					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ Non	e of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the p	riority documents I	nave been received				
2. Certified copies of the p	riority documents I	nave been received	in Application No			
Copies of the certified c application from the * See the attached detailed Office.	International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(Stage		
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a c	claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the fore		* *				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-		5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO) r:			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 9			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,907

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 35-40, drawn to ink composition comprising a phosphonium compound, classified in class 106, subclass 31.27.
- II. Claims 8-13 and 41-46, drawn to ink composition comprising an acetylene compound, classified in class 106, subclass 31.59.
- III. Claims 14-20 and 47-52, drawn to ink composition comprising a cationic compound, classified in class 106, subclass 31.13.
- IV. Claims 21-27 and 53-58, drawn to ink composition comprising a cationic coloring material, classified in class 106, subclass 31.27.
- V. Claims 28-34, drawn to ink composition comprising a coloring material that is an inclusion compound, classified in class 106, subclass 31.27.
- VI. Claims 59-69, drawn to ink composition comprising an alkali metals, classified in class 106, subclass 31.13.
- VII. Claims 70-220, drawn to a method of printing, classified in class 347, subclass 100+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions I and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions I and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions I and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions I and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the ink composition can be used in a materially different process such as lithographic printing.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions II and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions II and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions II and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions II and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the ink composition can be used in a materially different process such as lithographic printing.

Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions III and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions III and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions III and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the ink composition can be used in a materially different process such as lithographic printing.

Inventions IV and V are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions IV and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions IV and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the ink composition can be used in a materially different process such as lithographic printing.

Inventions V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions can be used separately.

Inventions V and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the ink composition can be used in a materially different process such as lithographic printing.

Inventions VI and VII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the ink composition can be used in a materially different process such as lithographic printing.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The Examiner would like to point out that the election of Group VII might require a further election.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Veronica F. Faison whose telephone number is 703-305-3918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays 8 am to 5 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,907

Art Unit: 1755

Page 8

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on 703-308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

eronica F. Faison

July 25, 2003

Mark L. Bell

Supervisory Patent Examiner

technology Center 1700